

### Introduction

- Humans often think about counterfactual possibilities of an experienced reality and imagine how it could be otherwise (Kahneman & Miller, 1986).
- There are infinitive alternative possibilities we can imagine, but in practice we only generate a limited set of counterfactual thoughts (Phillips, Morris & Cushman, 2019).
- We hypothesize that counterfactual thoughts that are more semantically similar to reality and highly preferred are more likely to come to mind.

## Methods

- Subjects N = 49 (ages 18-26, M = 20.04, SD = 1.53)
- Online study, two sessions separated by a week.
- In Session 1, subjects rate how much they would like to work in each of 193 countries in the world.
- In Session 2, subjects are:
  - told that they received a job offer in one of the four target countries:





Guatemala

- list 10 other countries that come to mind while thinking about the target country;
- rate how much they would like to work in the target country as well as the 10 counterfactual countries.

# How Reality Could be Otherwise: Counterfactual Thinking and Preference

Feiyi Wang, Ada Aka, Sudeep Bhatia Psychology Department, University of Pennsylvania

# Semantic Similarity Effects

We are more likely to imagine counterfactual possibilities that are high in relative semantic similarity to the reality.



Arabia

### **Preference Effects**

We are more likely to think about countries that we prefer.



# Markov Model Parameters

	Semantic Similarity	Preference	Target Similarity	Frequency	Negative Log Likelihood	P value
Full Model	6.22	0.24	2.21	1.20	2035.33	-
Semantic Similarity Removed	/	0.31	3.71	1.25	2200.74	<.001
Preference Removed	6.45	1	1.92	1.39	2055.49	<.001
Target Similarity Removed	6.79	0.21	/	1.19	2057.57	<.001
Frequency Removed	6.76	0.55	2.33	1	2193.67	<.001

• We adopted the model from two of the authors' previous work.

- - when it is more preferred.

Kahneman, D., & Miller, D. T. (1986). Norm theory: Comparing reality to its alternatives. Psychological review, 93(2), 136.

Phillips, J., Morris, A., & Cushman, F. (2019). How we know what not to think. Trends in cognitive sciences, 23(12), 1026-1040.





# Conclusions

Counterfactual thoughts are highly influenced by our preferences and other memory-based mechanisms:

We are more likely to imagine a possibility when it is more semantically similar to the reality.

We are also more likely to imagine a possibility

### References