Choose Your Adventure: A Novel Paradigm for Measuring Perseverative Thought Jair Jean-Gilles, Emily Potter, Allison Ricks, and Isabella Schlact (Mentor: Ayelet Meron Ruscio) University of Pennsylvania

INTRODUCTION

- Perseverative thought (PT), including repetitive negative thinking such as worry and rumination, is strongly associated with anxiety and depression.¹
- While many trait questionnaires assess PT, ²⁻⁴ these lacksquaremeasures fail to track PT as it changes in response to momentary stressors.
- Previous attempts to elicit and assess state PT^{5,6} have \bullet been limited by:
 - static stimuli (e.g., single sentences) that do not mirror how events unfold in real life
 - passive exposure to stimuli that do not require the participant to take action
 - specialized equipment that is inaccessible to many clinicians
- To address these limitations, we created a story task modeled after the "Choose Your Own Adventure" books. We examined predictors of state PT, assessed by frequent thought ratings as events unfolded throughout the story.

THE STORY TASK

- In an online task, participants engaged with the hypothetical scenario of travelling to a job interview (see Figure 1).
- The story featured sixteen choice points. Although all choices inevitably led to the same end result, participants believed that the choices they made would influence how the task ultimately unfolded.
- On each of the task's 184 screens, participants rated their thought valence on a scale from -10 to +10.

METHOD

- Participants were 92 undergraduate students (67%) female, 45% White).
- Participants completed the story task, followed by a post-task questionnaire in which they rated the story on several characteristics using a 1 (not at all) to 7 (very much) Likert-type scale (see Figure 2).
- Participants also completed 3 trait questionnaires⁷⁻⁹ lacksquarewhose scores were standardized and averaged into a composite variable for trait PT (Cronbach's $\alpha = .86$).

We developed a novel experimental paradigm that effectively evoked perseverative thought in real time.

Figure 1: Five-screen excerpt from the story task.

You step out of your ride, thank the driver, and watch him drive off.

Will you wait for the shuttle bus or take the with your heavy bags?

Table 1: Pearson correlations for mean thought ratings and event valence.

	Mean All Screens	Mean Pos. Screens	Mean Neut. Screens	Mean Neg. Screens
r	35	21	18	42
р	<.001	.049	.096	<.001
	SD All Screens	SD Pos. Screens	SD Neut. Screens	SD Neg. Screens
r	.28	.45	.24	.11
р	.006	<.001	.020	.311

Figure 2: Participant responses to the post-task questionnaire.







RESULTS

- 1.88).

DISCUSSION

04.<u>https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.76.2.28</u>



• The story task evoked thought ratings varying widely in valence and intensity (range = -10 to 10, M = 1.72, SD =

• Thought ratings corresponded closely to the valence of events on the screen (*negative screens*: *M* = -2.80, *SD* = 2.57; *positive screens*: *M* = 5.00, *SD* = 2.11; *neutral* screens: M = 3.70, SD = 2.35).

Higher trait perseverators reported more negative thoughts across the task (r = -.35), especially on screens depicting negative events (r = -.42; see Table 1). Trait PT also predicted greater variability in thought ratings across the task (r = .28), especially on positive screens (r = .45).

• Our results provide promising initial support for the story paradigm in eliciting and measuring state PT. Participants found the story highly vivid, engaging, and stressful, and thought ratings varied widely and tracked closely with the events on the screen.

• Trait PT was moderately associated with both the mean and variability of thought ratings across the task The study was limited by its use of an undergraduate sample, which limits the generalizability of the data garnered. A further limitation was the difficulty of separating thoughts from feelings, which lowered the internal validity of our 'thought valence' measure. • Future research might use this paradigm with a clinical population to determine if there is a significant difference in their thought valence compared to a control group. This population should include those with depression and/or anxiety, as PT is commonly observed within these mental illnesses.

L. Ruscio, A. M., Seitchik, A. E., Gentes, E. L., Jones, J. D., & Hallion, L. S. (2011). Perseverative thought: A robust predictor of response to emotional challenge in generalized anxiety disorder and major depressive disorder. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 49(12), 867–874. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.brat.2011.10.00 2. Ehring, T., Zetsche, U., Weidacker, K., Wahl, K., Schönfeld, S., & Ehlers, A. (2011). The Perseverative Thinking Questionnaire (PTQ): Validation of a content-independent measure of repetitive negative thinking. Journal

of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry, 42(2), 225–232. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/i.ibtep.2010.12.003</u>

3. Meyer, T.J., Miller, M. L, Metzger, R. L, & Borkovec, T. D. (1990). Development and validation of the Penn State Worry Questionnaire. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 28(6), 487–495. https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-7967(90)90135-

1. Trapnell, P. D., & Campbell, J. D. (1999). Private self-consciousness and the five-factor model of personality: distinguishing rumination from reflection. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 76(2), 284–

5. Kircanski, K., Thompson, R. J., Sorenson, J., Sherdell, L., & Gotlib, I. H. (2018). The everyday dynamics of rumination and worry: Precipitant events and affective consequences. Cognition and Emotion, 32(7), 1424

1436. https://doi.org/10.1080/02699931.2017.127867 5. Wade, E. C., Cohen, R. T., Loftus, P., & Ruscio, A. M. (2020). A novel measure of real-time perseverative thought [Manuscript submitted for publication]. Department of Psychology, University of Pennsylvania. 7. Meyer, T.J., Miller, M. L., Metzger, R. L., & Borkovec, T. D. (1990). Development and validation of the Penn State Worry Questionnaire. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 28(6), 487–495.

3. Ehring, T., Zetsche, U., Weidacker, K., Wahl, K., Schönfeld, S., & Ehlers, A. (2011). The Perseverative Thinking Questionnaire (PTQ): Validation of a content-independent measure of repetitive negative thinking. Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry, 42(2), 225–232. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/i.ibtep.2010.12.003</u>

9. Trapnell, P. D., & Campbell, J. D. (1999). Private self-consciousness and the five-factor model of personality: Distinguishing rumination from reflection. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 76(2), 284–304. https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.76.2.284