

Varun Trivedi '25^{1,2}, Rithwik Udayagiri², Rohan Shende³, Olaoluwaotan Sowande^{2,3}, Jessica Yin^{2,3}, James Pikul, PhD³, Michelle J. Johnson, PhD¹⁻⁵





Abstract

Early identification of neurodevelopmental disorders in infants is crucial for timely intervention to improve long-term functional outcomes. Feasibility trials have established differences in toy interaction behaviors between healthy and at-risk infants. The proposed smart toy aims to improve quantitative measurements of these interactions to detect risks of developing motor delays. Utilizing a unique configuration of soft lossy force sensors, the toy shows promise in classifying toy interactions such as grasp, hit, squeeze, kick etc.

Introduction

- In the United States, one in ten infants is born prematurely [1]; preterm birth is strongly associated with a risk of neurodevelopmental delay [2].
- Due to the high neuroplasticity of infants, rehabilitation has been shown to be especially effective before the age of 2 [3].
- Current clinical assessments of infant motor ability, specifically risk of cerebral palsy, like the Test of Infant Motor Performance and the General Movements Assessment, are qualitative and less accessible [4].
- This toy is a part of the Play and Neuro Development Assessment (PANDA) Gym, an interactive, universal portable, quantitative, and affordable assessment tool to detect neurodevelopmental delays in infants (Fig. 1).
- **Objective:** To optimize the design of novel lossy force sensors with a newly designed "Soft" toy and electronics to classify and distinguish infanttoy interactions



Fig 1. PANDA Gym

Previous Toy Iteration: Ailu

NeoPixel lights in the

audio sounds attracted

infant attention (Fig. 3)

pressure transducers

to 137.9 kPa (Fig. 4)

measured pressure up

Silicon rubber arms with

ears and dynamic

The most recent iteration of the PANDA gym toy,

Ailu, aimed to measure pressure applied to the



Fig 2. The PANDA toy, Ailu

To sensorize the face and ears, a pressuresensitive conductive sheet was sandwiched between conductive fabric, creating a custom-sized force-sensitive resistor (FSR).

Limitations

- After testing Ailu with numerous healthy and premature infants, the following limitations were discovered:
- **Robustness:** the custom-made FSR's weren't durable and came apart after multiple uses
- **Reliability:** due to the lack of durability of the FSR's, the face and ears were ineffective at collecting consistent data
- Depth: Ailu was able to differentiate interactions between the face, arms, and either ear but lacked detailed information on classifying interactions by type



Fig 3. Neopixel lights in ears



Fig 4. Silicone ring tube inside arms of toy to measure grasping forces



Body Design

- (Fig. 9)

Low Du High Du

Testing

Developing Soft Lossy Force Sensors for Detection of Infant-Toy Interactions

¹ School of Engineering and Applied Science, University of Pennsylvania ² GRASP Lab. University of Pennsylvania

³ Department of Mechanical Engineering & Applied Mechanics, University of Pennsylvania

⁴ Department of Bioengineering, University of Pennsylvania

⁵ Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, University of Pennsylvania

Lossy Sensor Development

Principle: light traveling through optic fiber is lost due to bending [6]

Laced jewelry string, which emulates a cost-effective optic fiber, through structure

Force applied to structure correlates to bending of string and, thereby, loss of light (measured by photodiode) → "**lossy**" sensor

Utilized a truss-shaped lattice structure to weave the fiber through to ensure even spread of force (Fig. 5)

• Lattice structure was printed from Flexible 80A resin on FormLabs Form 3B+ printer

• Ideal material properties (Shore A Hardness value of 70A [7]), enabling the structure to be soft and elastic, yet firm

Fig 5. **A.** Lattice structure unit model with optic fiber (jewelry string) in red. B. Close-up of printed lattice structure

Designed and resin-printed mount for fiber and LED/Photodiode (Fig. 7)





Fig 6. Views of model and printed arm lattice



Fig 7. Views of LED/Photodiode mount

Toy Development and Testing

• Functionally, the toy is similar to Ailu in overall shape (Fig. 8), which was based on U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission Age Determination Guidelines for Children's Toys [8]

Evaluating feasibility of lossy sensors; will incorporate feedback mechanism and look of Ailu in final product

Body was 3D-printed in ABS

Electronic Design

For robust, repeatable testing, developed printed circuit board (PCB) to fit meshly into face, incorporating 12 individual sensors

Each sensor combines an IR LED emitter with a photodiode, along with corresponding components for signal amplification (Fig. 10) Used the Teensy 3.2 microcontroller to connect to, control, and read signals from the PCB sensors

Classifying Interactions

Four types of force sensor voluntary interactions by infants to classify: Touch, Kick, Weaker Grasp, and Stronger Grasp (Table 1) [9]

	Low Force	High Force
uration	Touch	Kick
uration	Weaker Grasp	Stronger Grasp

Table 1. Proposed Classification of Interactions

Analyzed analog values for consistency, important characteristics Force Testing

Used Instron Model 4444 mechanical tester to measure force while collecting sensor data from one sensor as a sample (Fig. 11)

Used analog force gauge to measure force while collecting sensor data across the whole face



Fig 8. Front view of new sensorized PANDA toy without arms



Fig 9. Populated PCB without LED/PDs



Fig 10. Sensor circuit diagrams



Fig 11. Instron testing of lossy sensors on toy





Results and Discussion

- Lattices on the face use six sensors, which "" correspond to six analog signals (Fig. 12)
- Longer sensors have more length for light to be lost, yielding lower baseline values and, potentially, decreased sensitivity
- "Low" and "high" durations of forces classified by thresholding peak widths

Instron Testing (Fig. 13)

- Gradient Boosting regression for predicting force from prominence value yielded avg. MSE of 0.188 N and avg. Rsquared of 0.493 (n=100), indicating low error but not an amazing approximation
- Issue: deformation from rapid, successive testing

Force Gauge Testing

- Relative signal change (RSC) plotted against force (Fig. 14)
- Logarithmic trendline fitted to data RSC =
- 0.0592 * In(Force) 0.0633
- R-squared: $0.605 \rightarrow$ reasonable



Fig 12. Sample sensor signals, minima peaks corresponding to applied forces



Fig 13. A. Sample sensor data during Instron testing. B. Corresponding force data from Instron



Fig 14. Prominence vs. Force (N) from Force Gauge Testing

Ongoing/Future Work

- Collecting ground truth data on the four types of interactions to build robust model that directly classifies interaction
- Toy mounted to stand for testing (Fig. 15)
- Add in lights and sounds from Ailu to capture infant attention
- Add fabric and stuffing
- Incorporate all electronics inside the toy with no hanging parts



Fig 15. Mounted toy for ground truth data collection

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the National Institute of Health (NIH)-R21-HD084327 and R01-HD097686 and the Penn Undergraduate Research Mentoring Program. We would also like to acknowledge the Rehabilitation Robotics Lab, the Pikul Research Group, and the George H. Stephenson Foundation Educational Laboratory & Bio-MakerSpace for their support.

References

[1] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2022, November 1). Preterm birth. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention //www.cdc.gov/reproductivehealth/maternalinfanthealth/pretermbirth.ht

[2] Cha, J. H., Ahn, J. H., Kim, Y. J., Lee, B. G., Kim, J. I., Park, H. K., Kim, B. N., & Lee, H. J. (2022). Impact of Preterm Birth on Neurodevelopmental Disorders in South Korea: A Nationwide Population-Based Study. Journal of clinical medicine, 11(9), 2476. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm11092476

[3] Morgan, C., Darrah, J., Gordon, A. M., Harbourne, R., Spittle, A., Johnson, R., & Fetters, L. (2016). Effectiveness of motor interventions in infants with cerebral palsy: A systematic review. Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology, 58(9). 900-909. nttps://doi.org/10.1111/dmcn.1310

[4] Diagnosing cerebral palsy. Cerebral Palsy Research Network. (2022, February 19). https://cprn.org/diagnosing-cerebral-palsy/ [5] Ho, E. S., Torres, W., Prosser, L., & Johnson, M. J. (2019). Ailu: An Affordable Sensorized Toy for Detection of Neuro and Motor Delays in . International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics : [proceedings], 2019, 994–999. Infants IFFF https://doi.org/10.1109/ICORR.2019.8779523

[6] To, C., Hellebrekers, T. L., & Park, Y.-L. (2015). Highly stretchable optical sensors for pressure, strain, and curvature measurement. 2015 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS). https://doi.org/10.1109/iros.2015.7354215 [7] Flexible 80A resin. Formlabs. (n.d.). https://formlabs.com/store/materials/flexible-80a-resin.

[8] Smith, T. P., Therrell, J. A., Brown, P.-S., Sutterby, J. A., & Thornton, C. D. (2002). Age determination guidelines: Relating children's ages to toy characteristics and play behavior. PsycEXTRA Dataset. https://doi.org/10.1037/e442552005-001 [9] C Kather, FS Shofer, J Park, D Bogen, SR Pierce, K Kording, H Zhang, L Prosser and MJ Johnson. Quantifying interaction with robotic toys in pre-term and full-term infants. Front. Pediatr. - Pediatric Neurology. Under review.