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Self-assembling nanostructures are constructed through the process of branched-junction DNA molecules bonding with each other without external guidance. We use graph theory and a flexible tile-based model to predict what structures can be produced in a laboratory setting. [1]


3-armed junction-branched DNA molecules [3]


Graph realized by pot $P=\left\{\left\{a^{3}\right\},\{\hat{a}, \hat{x}, \hat{z}\},\left\{x^{3}\right\},\{\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{x}\},\{\hat{a}, \hat{b}, z\},\left\{z, \hat{z}^{2}\right\},\{\hat{b}, \hat{x}, z\},\left\{b^{3}\right\}\right\}$ using tile distribution ( $1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1$ )

## Goal

Given a pot of tiles, $P$, can we algorithmically construct at least one graph and possibly all non-isomorphic graphs realized by $P$ ?

- Input: A pot containing any number of bond-edge types

Output: All non-isomorphic graphs realized by $P$

## Construction Matrix Algorithm

Input: $P=\left\{\{\hat{a}, b\},\{\hat{a}, \hat{b}\},\left\{a^{2}, b\right\},\left\{a^{2}, \hat{b}\right\}\right\}$


[^0]Tile proportions $=\left\langle\frac{1}{6}, \frac{3}{6}, \frac{2}{6}, \frac{0}{6}\right\rangle,\left\langle\frac{2}{6}, \frac{2}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}\right\rangle,\left\langle\frac{3}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{0}{6}, \frac{2}{6}\right\rangle$

Prioritization Algorithms

## Organizing

We can order the list of tiles by:

| degree | $\left\{a^{2}, b\right\},\left\{a^{2}, \hat{b}\right\},\{\hat{a}, b\},\{\hat{a}, \hat{b}\}$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| diversity | $\{\hat{a}, b\},\{\hat{a}, \hat{b}\},\left\{a^{2}, b\right\},\left\{a^{2}, \hat{b}\right\}$ |
| Iexicographic | $\left\{a^{2}, b\right\},\left\{a^{2}, \hat{b}\right\},\{\hat{a}, b\},\{\hat{a}, \hat{b}\}$ |

## Connecting Process

| Step 1: <br> (2) (4) | Step 2: $\stackrel{(4)}{(4)} \underset{(4)}{\leftrightarrows}$ | Step 1: (®) (6) |  | Step 1: <br> (4) ${ }^{-1}$ | Step 2: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Step 3: | Step 4: |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Step 4: } \\ & \text { (1) } a_{a}^{a}=(\text { (2) } \end{aligned}$ | Step 3: <br> (4) ${ }^{a}$ | Step 4: <br> (1) |

## Connected Examples

ordering: degree
ordering: lexicographic
avoid multi-edges


## Combinatorics

We count the number of permutations of edge-swaps $P=\left(\{\hat{a}, b\},\{\hat{a}, \hat{b}\},\left\{a^{2}, b\right\},\left\{a^{2}, \hat{b}\right\}\right)$, Tile Distribution $=(2,2,1,1)$




The given pot with tile distribution ( $2,2,1,1$ ) has 5 non-isomorphic graphs
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[^0]:    Output: Minimum possible order $=$

