# **GREEN OR:** Guiding Reductions in Excess and Expenses in the Neurosurgery Operating Room

## Introduction

- > The world's fifth leading cause of climate change is the healthcare system. Hospitals throughout the country are positioned to consider more sustainable practices and improve efficiency of all hospital sectors.
- > Operating rooms (OR) are the center of waste generation and the pinnacle of energy usage for a modern hospital, producing more than 30% of a hospital's total waste and using an average of 6% more energy per square foot than all other hospital departments. [1]
- OR sustainable improvement studies have traditionally focused on one of two main aspects: surgical tray optimization or waste management.
- > Past studies have reviewed tray optimization savings and have performed audits on waste segregation. However, waste segregation awareness and employee satisfaction has been underassessed.
- $\succ$  As an effort to increase the scope of sustainable improvement in the healthcare sector, this study aims to combine the two aspects of modern OR sustainability and implement a comprehensive optimization of waste segregation and surgical instrument inventory optimization.

## **Methods**







Figure 1. Neurosurgery trays from the four different surgeries included in this study. 1A) Depicts the implant trays for a thoracolumbar fusion case. 1B) "Basic Spine" tray for anterior cervical discectomy and fusion (ACDF) case before incision. 1C) Setup table and instrument tray for a lumbar laminectomy case. 1D) Disorganized post-operation "Basic Spine" instrument tray for a posterior cervical fixation case.

## **Stakeholder Perception & Satisfaction Assessment**

- for surgical instrument sterilization.

### **Surgical Tray Inventory Optimization Metrics**

- > Specific inventory reductions
- > Operational efficiency

### **Waste Management Metrics**

surgical operation.



Figure 2. Schematic illustration of Kotter's Change Model (KCM). The overall structure of the model is based on Dr. John Kotter's 8-Step Model for leading change. It starts with creating a sense of urgency and proceeds left to right across the two rows.

## Kotter's Change Model A **CREATE SENSE OF U**

Surveyed staff about baseline con OR sustainability and provided about the harmful effects of

### FORM A STRATEGIC

Created and disseminated a tech

### **ENABLE ACTIO**

Successfully mitigated financial b staff engagement

### SUSTAIN ACCELER

Conducted frequent sustainab meetings to integrate new

Rongrui (David) Liu<sup>1</sup>; Susanna D. Howard, MD<sup>2</sup>; Zarina S. Ali, MD<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>University of Pennsylvania, College of Arts and Sciences, Philadelphia, PA <sup>2</sup>Department of Neurosurgery, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA

> A questionnaire was created based on the Utrecht Work Engagement Scale-17 [2]. Two additional questions regarding proper operating room waste segregation and the current inventory of surgical tray instruments were added.

> The questionnaire was sent electronically to staff members (n = 45) within our sustainability coalition including neurosurgeons, nurses, and staff responsible

• Total number of instruments in each tray and the associated weight Tray assembly times and associated labor cost savings

Surgical operation delay duration and frequency

> The weight of Regulated Medical Waste (RMW), Non-Regulated Medical Waste (non-RMW), and anesthesia-related waste were recorded for each

## Results

Application	
RGENCY	<b>BUILD A GUIDING COALITION</b>
cern regarding information of waste.	Assembled a multidisciplinary group of stakeholders across various departments.
VISION	ENLIST A VOLUNTEER ARMY
nical protocol.	Conducted meetings to promote volunteer opportunities.
DN	GENERATE SHORT-TERM WINS
parriers through	Quickly reduced instrument sets deemed to be easily interchangeable.
ATION	<b>INSTITUTE CHANGE</b>
ole practice v staff.	Planning to broaden scope across the hospital system to maintain a sustainable culture.





A Surgery	Instrument count	Assembly time* (ho
ACDF <sup>+</sup>	284	3.5
Lumbar Laminectomy	186	2.0
Thoracolumbar Fusion <sup>+</sup>	172	1.9
Posterior Cervical Fusion <sup>†</sup>	183	2.1

### Table 1A) Average baseline surgical tray inventory in the neurosurgery department

B Surgery	% Instrument Reduction	% Labor time saved	% Weight reduction
ACDF <sup>†</sup>	19	17	20
Lumbar Laminectomy	31	24	27
Thoracolumbar Fusion <sup>+</sup>	11	9	14
Posterior Cervical Fusion <sup>+</sup>	31	23	29

Table 1B) Reductions made and calculated percent savings for labor time and weight for each of the four surgeries.

\*Assembly times are shown as the mean time across N = 26 tray assemblies <sup>†</sup>Implant trays were not included as part of the data collection



Maya A MD, MBA, et al. Greening the Operating Room: Results of a Scalable Initiative to Reduce Waste and Recover Supply Costs. Neurosurgery 85(3):p 432-437, September 2019. | DOI: 10.1093/neuros/nyy275

> Limitations of this study included the single-center setting and financial

**References and Acknowledgements** 

barriers in the Central Processing Department to optimally improve surgical

Kotter, J. P. (2012). Leading change. Boston, Harvard Business Review Press

segregation education was implemented.

tray efficiency

rmw.html.

> Labor cost savings were successfully achieved.

Schaufeli W, et al. Educational and Psychological Measurement 2006 66: 701 DOI: 10.1177/0013164405282471 4. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (1974), Infectious Waste Disposal Retrieved from https://www.envcap.org/srl/rmw/pa-