# Postnatal Development of the Goat Phalanges and Metacarpal Trabecular Architecture



Maha Essaidi (SEAS 2025)<sup>1,2</sup>, Christopher J. Panebianco<sup>2</sup>, Karin Vancíková<sup>3</sup>, Pieter Brama<sup>4</sup>, Niamh C. Nowlan<sup>3</sup>, Joel D. Boerckel<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>School of Engineering and Applied Science, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA I <sup>2</sup>Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA I <sup>3</sup>School of Mechanical and Materials Engineering, University College Dublin, Ireland I <sup>4</sup>School of Veterinary Medicine, University College Dublin, Ireland

# Introduction: Trabecular Bone Development

- Trabecular bone (TB) is the main load-bearing bone and calculating 3D trabecular microstructural indices improves accuracy of mechanical properties assessment [1].
- Goats were used as models in previous bone development studies [2]. Their morphology allows us to easily overload one phalanx and underload the other.

**Objective:** Investigate the change in trabecular microarchitecture in goat distal phalanges (P1) and metacarpi (MC) through postnatal development to encourage future research on the underlying mechanobiology and effects of mechanical cues on bone development.

#### **Methods:**

- Sample preparation: bone samples from 44 goats at ages 3 days (neonate, n=9), 1.5 months (1.5M, n=6), 3 months (3M, n=5), 6 months (6M, n=6), 9 months (9M, n=6), 12 months (12M, n=6), and 3.5 years (adult, n=6) were wrapped in gauze and submerged in PBS.
- Microcomputed tomography (MicroCT): bones from 44 goats were imaged with X-ray intensity of 145  $\mu$ A, energy of 55 kVp, integration time of 400 ms, and resolution of 10.4  $\mu$ m.
- Statistical analysis: one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a Tukey's post-hoc test (a = 0.05) was conducted to identify significant differences between groups.

# **Results: P1 bones continually develop new trabecular structures through 12M**



Neonate

6 weeks



3 months



6 months











9 months

12 months

Fig.

reconstruction

bone for each age. P1

(BV/TV) C) trabecular

trabecular separation

significant differences

between group and

corresponding

B)

(Tb.N),

and

phalanges

graphs of

volume

number

(Tb.Th),

(Tb.Sp).

group

color.

trabecular



Adult

(P1)

bone

fraction

thickness

indicates

with

star

1.0 mm





This work was supported by the the Science Foundation Ireland [21/FFP-A/9090 & SFI/16/ENM-ERA/3458], Penn Center for Undergraduate Research and Fellowships, and Penn Institutional Research and Academic Career Development Award [NIH K12GM081259].

Fig. 2. A) 3D reconstruction of metacarpal (MC) bone for each age. MC graphs of B) bone volume fraction (BV/TV) C) trabecular number (Tb.N), D) trabecular thickness (Tb.Th), E) trabecular separation (Tb.Sp), and F) epiphyseal bone fusion. \* indicates significant differences between group and group with corresponding star color.

### **Discussion and Conclusion**

Morphometric indices: more rapid increase in P1 with roughly all parameters significantly changing at all ages. However, changes in MC bone indices were overall less significant, specifically for Tb.N and Tb.Sp. This suggests that P1 growth is dynamic with major alterations, while MC growth is characterized by reinforcement of existing trabecular networks rather than forming new one.

Epiphyseal Fusion: fusion length increases from 3M to 12M. Between 12M and 3.5Y, growth plates close and the epiphyseal region undergoes remodeling. This fusion event makes the MC a useful model for research on bone fusion disorders, such as craniosynostosis [3] and spondylocostal dysplasia [4].

These findings indicate that caprine P1 and MC bones are promising models for studying long bone development and trabecular development, respectively, under experimentally altered loading conditions. These new models can be used to further understand bone growth mechanobiology and explore regenerative therapies for bone disorders.

#### References

[1] Ulrich et al. Bone 1999; [2] Dias et al., Curr Osteoporos Rep, 2018; [3] Di Rocco, F., Arnaud, E. & Renier, D. Evolution in the frequency of nonsyndromic craniosynostosis. J Neurosurg Pediatr 4, 21–25 (2009); [4] Turnpenny, P. Spondylocostal Dysostosis, Autosomal Recessive. GeneReviews (2009).

#### **Acknowledgements**