# Investigating Chimeric Antigen Receptor (CAR) T Cell Nonspecific Killing via CRISPR KO Screen



# Yvonne Kuo<sup>1,2</sup> (COL 2026), Caitlin Frazee<sup>2</sup>, Anna Chen<sup>2</sup>, Corbett Berry<sup>2</sup>, Christoph Ellebrecht<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Arts and Sciences, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, 19104 <sup>2</sup>Department of Dermatology, Center for Cellular Immunotherapies, Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, 19104

### BACKGROUND

#### **Chimeric Antigen Receptor (CAR) T Cell Therapy**

- Re-engineering of patient immune T cells to contain surface markers (CAR) that recognize and eradicate target cancer cells
- Products against B cell malignancies target CD19 antigen and contain CD137 (41BB) or CD28 costimulatory domains
- Post-treatment relapse remains a prominent issue that is not fully understood  $\bullet$

#### **Preliminary Findings**

- CD137-costimulated CAR T kills non-target cells lacking CD19 target, unlike CD28costimulated CAR T
- Understanding this alternative killing mechanism can enhance patient treatment plans and stratification, improving clinical outcomes



What is the mechanism by which CD137-costimulated CAR T cells eliminate cancer cells lacking regular target molecules?

# **CONCLUSIONS/FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

- Luciferase-based cytotoxicity assays confirms significantly greater nonspecific killing performed by CD137-costimulated CAR T cells on non-target K562 cells.
- MAGeCK analysis of CRISPR KO screen revealed gRNAs that persisted when comparing CD137-exposed K562 cells to CD28-exposed control, with several top hits being mitochondrial related, including MRPL4, COASY, and MPV17L2.
- Overrepresentation of these genes indicate their significance in K562 cell survival and thus the novel killing mechanism performed by CD137-costimulated CAR Ts.

#### **Further experiments:**

- Single gene KO experiments on top gene hits to confirm their contribution
- Examine potential pathways involved using caspase inhibitors, ferroptosis inhibitors, anti-IFN<sub>Y</sub>, and more

## REFERENCES

1. Cappell, K.M. and Kochenderfer, J.N. (2023). Long-term outcomes following CAR T cell therapy: what we know so far. Nat Rev Clin Oncol, 10, 359-371.

2. Xu, X., et al. (2019). Mechanisms of Relapse After CD19 CAR T-Cell Therapy for Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia and Its Prevention and Treatment Strategies. *Frontiers in immunology*, 10, 2664. 3. Bock, C., et al. (2022) High-content CRISPR screening. Nat Rev Methods Primers, 2, 8.

This research was supported by a College Alumni Research Grant and Frances Velay Fellowship.

sequencing run. This process was repeated multiple times to compile data for final analysis.

and negatively enriched gRNAs in CD137 CAR T-exposed K562 cells when compared to CD28 CAR Texposed cells. Top gene hits were analyzed for common pathways.



# Figure 4. Luciferasebased cytotoxicity

performed with 1:3 effector: target ratio added 2 days apart to the same K562 cells.



